Test module for flatness

Yılmaz Durğun

Bitlis Eren University, Turkey

June 5, 2015

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Whitehead Test Modules

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Whitehead Test Modules
- Subprojectivity Domain

Outline

Test Modules for Injectivity and Projectivity

- Whitehead Test Modules
- Subprojectivity Domain





- Whitehead Test Modules
- Subprojectivity Domain
- 2 Test Module for Flatness

3 Modules whose (sub)flat domains consists of only flat modules

Outline

Test Modules for Injectivity and Projectivity

- Whitehead Test Modules
- Subprojectivity Domain
- 2 Test Module for Flatness

3 Modules whose (sub)flat domains consists of only flat modules

4 References

Whitehead Test Modules

Let *M* be a left *R*-module.

 $\mathcal{P}(M) = \{N \in R - Mod | \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(N, M) = 0\}$

- (1) $\mathcal{P}(M) = R Mod$ iff *M* is injective.
- (2) Let $\mathcal{P}rj$ be denote the class of all projective modules. $\mathcal{P}rj \subseteq \mathcal{P}(M)$ for each module *M*.
- (3) Let Γ be a complete set of representatives of finitely presented right *R*-modules. Set *M* := ∏_{S_i∈Γ} S⁺_i, where S⁺_i ≅ Hom(S_i, Q/Z). P(M) = Flat.

Projective Test Modules

M is called projective test module (shortly, p-test) in case $\mathcal{P}rj = \mathcal{P}(M)$. Whether p-test module exist or not for each ring is unknown.

Whitehead Test Modules

Let *M* be a left *R*-module.

 $\mathcal{I}(M) = \{N \in R - Mod | \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0\}$

(1) I(M) = R - Mod iff *M* is projective.

- (2) Let I n j be denote the class of all injective modules. $I n j \subseteq I(M)$ for each module M.
- (3) Let Γ be a complete set of representatives of finitely presented left *R*-modules. Set $F := \bigoplus_{S_i \in \Gamma} S_i$. $I(M) = \{N \in R - Mod | N \text{ is fp-injective} \}.$
- (4) Let Γ be the set of all proper (essential) right ideals of R. Put $M := \bigoplus_{l \in \Gamma} R/l$. I(M) = Inj.

Injective Test Modules

M is called injective test module (shortly, i-test) in case Inj = I(M).

Whitehead Test Modules

i-test modules and p-test modules introduced and studied by Jan Trlifaj in several papers. The question "Is \mathbb{Z} a p-test \mathbb{Z} -module?" is exactly the well-known Whitehead problem. Trlifaj defined a ring *R* to be Ext-ring if each module is either p-test or injective. Trlifaj also considered rings over which each (finitely generated) module is either i-test or projective, and referred to such rings as (n-saturated rings) fully saturated rings. Fully saturated rings and Ext-rings are the same.

Whitehead test modules

- J. Trlifaj, von Neumann regular rings and the Whitehead property of modules, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.,(1990).
- J. Trlifaj, Whitehead test modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,(1996).
- P. C. Eklof and J. Trlifaj, *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull.

Subprojectivity Domain

Subprojectivity Domains

A module *M* is said to be *N*-subprojective if for every epimorphism $g: B \to N$ and homomorphism $f: M \to N$, then there exists a homomorphism $h: M \to B$ such that gh = f, i.e. Hom $(M, B) \to \text{Hom}(M, N) \to 0$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Subprojectivity Domain

Subprojectivity Domains

A module *M* is said to be *N*-subprojective if for every epimorphism $g: B \to N$ and homomorphism $f: M \to N$, then there exists a homomorphism $h: M \to B$ such that gh = f, i.e. $Hom(M, B) \to Hom(M, N) \to 0$.

For a module *M*, the subprojectivity domain of *M*, $\mathfrak{Pr}^{-1}(M)$, is defined to be the collection of all modules *N* such that *M* is *N*-subprojective, that is $\mathfrak{Pr}^{-1}(M) = \{N \in Mod - R | Hom(M, B) \rightarrow Hom(M, N) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every epimorphism } g : B \rightarrow N \}.$

Subprojectivity Domain

Subprojectivity Domain

p-Indigent Modules

The smallest possible subprojectivity domain is the class of projective modules. A module *M* is called p-indigent in case $\mathcal{P}rj = \underline{\mathfrak{P}r}^{-1}(M)$. Whether p-indigent module exist or not for each ring is unknown.

- C. Holston and S. R. López-Permouth and J. Mastromatteo and J. E. Simental-Rodriguez, An alternative perspective on projectivity of modules, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **57(1)** (2015) 83–99.
- Y. Durğun, Rings whose modules have maximal or minimal subprojectivity domain, *J. Algebra Appl.*, (2015), 14(6).

- Test Modules for Injectivity and Projectivity
 - Subprojectivity Domain

p-Indigent Modules

 Subprojectivity domains and p-indigent modules are introduced by C. Holston and S. R. López-Permouth and J. Mastromatteo and J. E. Simental-Rodriguez.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQで

Subprojectivity Domain

p-Indigent Modules

- Subprojectivity domains and p-indigent modules are introduced by C. Holston and S. R. López-Permouth and J. Mastromatteo and J. E. Simental-Rodriguez.
- In his paper, Durğun interested with the structure of rings over which every (finitely generated, singular, simple) module is projective or p-indigent.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Test modules for flatness

Test Modules for Injectivity and Projectivity

Subprojectivity Domain

Theorem (Durgun,2015)

Let *R* be a ring which is not von Neumann regular. *R* is right fully saturated, i.e. every right *R*-module is projective or i-test iff every right *R*-module is projective or p-indigent.

By replacing the functor Ext with the functor Tor, we introduced flat version of the Whitehead test modules for injectivity (projectivity) of Trlifaj. In contrast with *Ext*, we could focus on one module. Let *M* be a right *R*-module.

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \{N \in R - Mod | \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, N) = 0\}$$

(1)
$$\mathcal{F}(M) = R - Mod$$
 iff M is flat.

(2)
$$\mathcal{F}$$
 lat $\subseteq \mathcal{F}(M)$ for each module M .

(3) Let Γ be a complete set of representatives of finitely presented right *R*-modules. Set F := ∏_{Si∈Γ} S_i. *F*(M) = *F* lat.

Flat Test Module

M is called flat test module (shortly, f-test) in case \mathcal{F} lat = $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

By replacing the functor Hom(M, -) with the functor $M \otimes_R -$, we introduced flat version of the subprojectivity domain. Let *M* be a right *R*-module.

 $\underbrace{\mathfrak{F}}^{-1}(M) = \{ N \in R - Mod | 0 \to M \otimes_R Kerg \to M \otimes_R N \text{ for every} \\ epimorphism g : B \to N \}.$

Lemma

 $\underline{\mathfrak{Fl}}^{-1}(M) = \mathcal{F}(M)$ for each right *R*-module *M*.

Proposition

Every i-test module is f-test. In particular, there is f-test module for each ring R.

Proposition

For an arbitrary ring *R*, the following conditions are equivalent:

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- (1) R is von Neumann regular.
- (2) Every (non-zero) right (left) *R*-module is f-test.
- (3) There exists a right (left) flat f-test *R*-module.

Theorem

Let R be a nonsemisimple left perfect ring which has at least one finitely generated left maximal ideal (e.g. let R be a left noetherian). The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Every finitely generated right *R*-module is flat or f-test.
- (2) Every finitely generated left *R*-module is projective or p-indigent.
- (3) R is a left Σ-CS ring and every finitely generated singular left R-module is p-indigent.
- (4) There is a ring direct sum $R \cong S \times T$, where S is semisimple artinian ring and T is an indecomposable ring which is either
 - (i) n-saturated matrix ring over a local QF-ring, or
 - (ii) hereditary artinian serial ring with $J(T)^2 = 0$.

For convenience, we will define the following conditions for a ring *R*:

(*F*) : Every right *R*-module is flat or f-test.

Corollary

Let R be a nonsemisimple ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is a nonsingular left artinian ring which satisfies (F).
- (2) *R* is a right (or left) hereditary fully saturated ring.
- (3) $R \cong S \times T$, where S is semisimple artinian ring and T is an indecomposable hereditary artinian serial ring with $J(T)^2 = 0$.

Lemma

Let R be a nonsemisimple left coherent ring. If every finitely generated non-flat right R-module is f-test, then R is left IF ring or left semihereditary ring.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Lemma

Let R be a nonsemisimple left coherent ring. If every finitely generated non-flat right R-module is f-test, then R is left IF ring or left semihereditary ring.

Theorem

Let R be a hereditary noetherian ring. The following are equivalent for a non-flat right R-module M:

- (1) M is i-test.
- (2) M is f-test.
- (3) Hom(S, M) \neq 0 for each singular simple right *R*-module *S*.

Corollary

An abelain group is f-test (i-test) if and only if it contains a submodule isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{p \not\equiv \overline{p\mathbb{Z}}}$, where *p* ranges over all primes.

We sum up our results:

Theorem

Let R be a noetherian ring such that R is not QF.

- (1) R satisfies (F).
- (2) Every right *R*-module is flat or i-test.
- (3) R is right n-saturated.
- (4) *R* is right hereditary and every singular right *R*-module is f-test (i-test).
- (5) *R* is right hereditary and every injective right *R*-module is flat or f-test (i-test).

Theorem

Let *R* be a noetherian ring such that *R* is not left (or right) nonsingular. If *R* satisfies (*F*) (or every finitely generated non-flat right (left) *R*-module is f-test), then $R \cong S \times T$, where *S* is semisimple artinian ring and *T* is indecomposable matrix ring over a local QF-ring.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQで

-References

- R. Alizade and E. Büyükaşik and N. Er, Rings and modules characterized by opposites of injectivity, *J. Algebra* 409 (2014) 182–198.
- P. Aydoğdu and S. R. López-Permouth, An alternative perspective on injectivity of modules, *J. Algebra* 338 (2011) 207–219.
- R. R. Colby, Rings which have flat injective modules, J. Algebra 35 (1975) 239–252.
- Y. Durğun, Rings whose modules have maximal or minimal subprojectivity domain, *J. Algebra Appl.* (2015), 14(6).

-References

- C. Holston and S. R. López-Permouth and J. Mastromatteo and J. E. Simental-Rodriguez, An alternative perspective on projectivity of modules, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **57(1)** (2015) 83–99.
- D.V. Huynh, Structure of some Noetherian SI rings, *J. Algebra* **254(2)** (2002) 362–374.
- J. Trlifaj, Whitehead test modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348(4)** (1996) 1521–1554.
- P. C. Eklof and J. Trlifaj, *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull. London Math. Soc., (2001).